Journal of Philosophical Investigations
Print ISSN: 2251-7960 Online ISSN: 2423-4419
Homepage: https://philosophy.tabrizu.ac.ir

# The Educational Potentials of Digital Games for Cultivation of Abstract Thinking in Children: a conceptual-phenomenological analysis of 'Minecraft'

**Mohsen Karami**

Assistant Professor, Department of Media Arts. IRIB University, Tehran, Iran. E-mail: mohsenkarami@iribu.ac.ir

## Article Info

**Article type:**
Research Article

**Article history:**
Received 26 April 2025
Received in revised form 06 June 2025
Accepted 25 June 2025
Published online 20 January 2026

**Keywords**:
Minecraft, Abstract Thinking, Interactive Digital Games, Analysis, Conceptual–Phenomenological Philosophy of Educatio

## ABSTRACT

This paper adopts a conceptual–phenomenological approach to examine Minecraft's potential to foster abstract thinking in children. Drawing on Piaget's cognitive development theory, Vygotsky's sociocultural theory, Dewey's philosophy of experience, and Gadamer's hermeneutics of play, it integrates conceptual analysis of in-game structures such as three-dimensional blocks, Redstone circuits, spatial relations, symbolism, and metaphor with a phenomenological exploration of children lived gameplay experiences. Fourteen key components of abstract thinking are identified, including spatial visualization, symbolic and logical reasoning, algorithmic thinking, analogy, systems thinking, planning, imagination, and metacognitive reflection. Empirically, the study is supported by interviews and participant observations with 25 children aged 10–12 over five weeks. The findings indicate that Minecraft's iterative processes of building, testing, and redesign, together with collaborative interaction and low-risk experimentation, enhance spatial and logical skills while promoting higher-order thinking, symbolic understanding, and early forms of theorization. The game's multimodal environment further supports visual meaning-making, pattern recognition, and self-regulation. Overall, Minecraft is presented not merely as a space for play, but as a philosophically and educationally significant medium that contributes to the development of conceptual thinking and offers a model for rethinking learning environments in contemporary education.

**Cite this article**: Karami, M. (2026). The Educational Potentials of Digital Games for Cultivation of Abstract Thinking in Children: A Conceptual-Phenomenological Analysis of 'Minecraft'. *Journal of Philosophical Investigations*, 19(53), 331-360. https://doi.org/10.22034/jpiut.2025.66914.4085

© The Author(s). Publisher: University of Tabriz.

## Intruduction

In recent decades, the accelerating transformations driven by digital technologies have not only reshaped the tools and methods of traditional education but also fundamentally altered our understanding of the very nature of learning. Classical educational models—conceiving learning as a one-way, teacher-centered transmission of content—have increasingly given way to more holistic approaches that emphasize meaning-making experiences, critical inquiry, and aesthetic reflection (Dewey, 1934; Nussbaum, 1997). Within this new paradigm, digital technologies—and in particular, digital games—offer learners the opportunity to engage with interactive, dynamic environments in which they are no longer passive recipients of information but active architects of concepts and meaning. This resonates with Gadamer's notion of the "playful encounter" in hermeneutic experience (Gadamer, 1986).

A central aim of contemporary education is the cultivation of abstract thinking: the capacity to move beyond raw sensory data and immediate experience toward symbolic representation and complex conceptual structures. Piaget (1972, 112–115) describes this cognitive ability as a developmental milestone that enables individuals to extract overarching patterns, principles, and relations from concrete situations. Similarly, Vygotsky (2004, 7–10) highlights how social interaction and cooperative activities guide children beyond the limits of their current cognitive performance. The pressing question, then, is how these intricate developmental processes can be facilitated within digital environments.

Digital games—by virtue of their affordances and multimodal semiotic grammar—create conditions for both experiential and theoretical engagement that static media cannot replicate. *Minecraft*, as a paradigmatic example of an ergodic game (Aarseth, 1997) and what Flusser (1983) calls a "functional dialogue with the screen," invites players to engage in the creative construction and reconstruction of virtual worlds. In *Minecraft*, every block, lighting design, and Redstone circuit can be interpreted as a semiotic element, opening avenues for cognitive decoding and symbolic reasoning. By integrating three-dimensional spatial visualization, conditional logic, symbolic construction, and feedback-oriented reflection, *Minecraft* becomes an active, dynamic "text" in which the player–as–reader continuously participates in interpretation and meaning-making. Piaget (1972) further notes that abstract thinking emerges precisely through such spatial manipulation and modeling.

Despite growing interest in game-based learning, relatively few studies have undertaken a philosophical and cognitive analysis of *Minecraft*'s specific affordances for cultivating the diverse components of abstract thinking. This study seeks to fill that gap by adopting an interdisciplinary, phenomenological approach to the lived experience of gameplay—investigating *Minecraft*'s structures and semiotic features in relation to the development of abstract reasoning.

The main objective of this paper is to conduct a conceptual–phenomenological analysis of *Minecraft*, illustrating how the processes of "construction," "evaluation," and "redesign" within the game function as catalysts for cognitive transformation and the growth of abstract thinking in children.

The structure of the study unfolds as follows. First, the theoretical framework will be introduced. Second, the research methodology employs content analysis and a phenomenological approach to identify the principal components of abstract thinking as they emerge in the *Minecraft* environment. Finally, the findings will be interpreted in light of Piaget, Vygotsky, and other key theorists—culminating in practical recommendations for integrating these insights into contemporary educational systems.

Methodologically, this study adopts a primarily conceptual approach—grounded in philosophical analysis of game structures and symbolic affordances—while supplementing it with a phenomenological component to attend to the lived, embodied, and socially mediated nature of gameplay experiences. In line with van Manen's contention that phenomenology best reveals the meaning structures of lived experience (van Manen, 1990, 10), this complementary method traces how abstract concepts take shape in children's real-time engagement with *Minecraft*. Although the empirical component draws on a focused sample of twenty-five children from a single educational setting, the goal is not statistical generalization but rather the generation of philosophically and pedagogically meaningful insights. The sample was intentionally delimited to ensure depth of interpretation, and the findings are intended to inform future, more diverse inquiries into the cognitive and ethical dimensions of digital play.

## 1. Literature Review

In recent years, a growing body of systematic reviews and empirical interventions has demonstrated that *Minecraft*—alongside other digital games—functions as an effective tool for enhancing children's abstract thinking skills, including spatial reasoning, logic, problem-solving, and metacognitive abilities. A careful survey of these studies highlights several key findings:

### 1-1. Systematic Reviews on Minecraft-Based Learning

Slattery et al. (2025), in a comprehensive systematic review, compiled substantial evidence for *Minecraft*'s positive effects across four major domains: cognitive (spatial reasoning and logic), academic (mathematics and science), motivational-affective (engagement and self-efficacy), and social. Their results affirm that *Minecraft* can serve as a versatile platform for designing educational experiences.

### 1-2. Digital Play in Early Childhood

A meta-analysis conducted in 2024 found that integrating digital games into preschool and elementary curricula yields moderate to large positive effects ($g \approx 0.35–0.46$) on cognitive development, social skills, emotional regulation, motivation, and classroom engagement.

Although this analysis was not exclusive to *Minecraft*, it offers a broader perspective on how game-based environments support foundational components of abstract thinking.

### 1-3. Interdisciplinary STEM Intervention in Grade 7

An explanatory sequential mixed-methods study with forty-six seventh graders integrated weekly *Minecraft*-based sessions into the STEM curriculum over two months. Compared with a control group, students who participated exhibited statistically significant improvements in STEM-related motivation and three-dimensional visualization skills. Post-study interviews indicated that gameplay bolstered students' confidence in constructing and visualizing 3D shapes.

### 1-4. Targeted Spatial Thinking Intervention in Grade 5

A six-week structured program using *Minecraft Education Edition* was incorporated into standard lessons for fifth graders. Pre- and post-tests measuring mental rotation and map-reading skills demonstrated that guided gameplay directly enhanced essential spatial thinking competencies.

### 1-5. Block-Building Workshop and Spatial Reasoning

In a focused block-building workshop, elementary students engaged in hands-on construction tasks using *Minecraft*. Subsequent testing showed improvements in their ability to mentally visualize and manipulate shapes, confirming that virtual construction can effectively foster spatial components of abstract thought.

### 1-6. Development of Metacognitive Skills

Lee, Roberts, and Kim (2024) examined how commercial video games—including *Minecraft*—promote metacognitive processes, such as planning, monitoring, and self-evaluation, particularly when gameplay is paired with reflective questioning and collaborative peer discussion.

### 1-7. Metaverse-Like Platforms and Systems Thinking

Zhang, Wang, and Chen (2025) conducted a study in *Minecraft Education Edition* where forty students collaboratively designed a metaverse-inspired STEM world. Their preliminary analysis suggested that interacting with complex, multi-agent systems in such virtual spaces significantly enhanced students' systems thinking and collaborative problem-solving abilities.

Taken together, these studies indicate that existing research has predominantly focused on *Minecraft*'s role in enhancing spatial reasoning. However, a substantial gap remains in exploring *Minecraft*'s potential for cultivating other dimensions of abstract thinking—such as symbolic reasoning, analogical transfer, and the capacity to generalize learning across novel contexts. The present study situates itself within this ongoing tradition and aims to offer a more comprehensive philosophical and cognitive analysis of *Minecraft*'s specific affordances for nurturing abstract thinking in children.

## 2. Theoretical Framework

### 2-1. Education as the Cultivation of Conceptual and Abstract Capacities

In contemporary educational philosophy, instruction transcends mere transmission of information and emphasizes meaningful aesthetic experience and the nurturing of "deep" and "abstract" thinking. Nussbaum (1997) argues that education should be a transformative process inviting children to engage in inquiry, moral judgment, and intellectual autonomy. Likewise, Dewey (1934) and Gadamer (1986) view play and the arts as spheres in which learners forge significant aesthetic experiences and develop conceptual understanding.

### 2-2. Piaget's Cognitive Development and the Transition from Concrete to Abstract Thought

Piaget (1972) demonstrated that play functions as a vehicle by which children advance from sensorimotor intelligence to symbolic thought and ultimately to abstract reasoning, with educators serving as facilitators of conceptual construction. Earlier work by Piaget and Inhelder (1964) on "formal operational logic" further illustrates how interactive symbolic play acquaints children with logical and mathematical structures.

### 2-3. Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory: Meaning in the Zone of Proximal Development

Vygotsky (2004) posits that learning is inherently social and occurs within the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), where interactions with more capable peers or mentors propel children beyond their current cognitive level. In multiplayer digital environments—such as *Minecraft*—collaborative dialogue and shared problem-solving create a communal meaning-making space that fosters higher-order, abstract thinking.

### 2-4. Semiotics, Visual Semantics, and Multimodality

Arnheim (1969) emphasizes the pivotal role of visual elements in conveying meaning, while Lakoff and Johnson (1980) demonstrate that visual metaphors underlie our understanding of abstract concepts. Kress and van Leeuwen (2006) extend this view, showing that multimodal combinations of text, image, sound, and motion generate new cognitive affordances. In *Minecraft*, the interplay between signifiers (e.g., block types, lighting, color) and their signified concepts (e.g., "boundary," "security," "flow") elevates visual interpretation and meaning-making to a phenomenological experience.

### 2-5. Philosophy of the Image and Play as Philosophical Inquiry

Flusser (1983) characterizes digital games as a "functional dialogue with the screen," wherein the player continually interprets and reinterprets symbolic structures. The "philosophy for children" movement holds that children are innate philosophers, and that play provides an ideal forum for posing fundamental questions and engaging in critical dialogue (Lipman, 2003).

### 2-6. Aesthetic Experience and "Play as Art"

Dewey's (1934) concept of "Art as Experience" positions both art and play as arenas in which participants transcend everyday routines to reconstruct meaning. Gadamer (1986) likewise

highlights the dynamic "playful encounter" between the individual and the text (or game-world), framing it as an ongoing hermeneutic dialogue.

### 2-7. Ergodic Literature and Actor–Network Theory

Aarseth (1997) introduces the notion of ergodic literature to describe digital games as texts requiring nontrivial effort from the user to traverse and generate meaning. From the perspective of Actor–Network Theory (Latour, 2005), the game's artifacts—blocks, creatures, and physical rules—function as "actors" within a socio-technical network, each contributing to the co-construction of meaning.

This multilayered theoretical framework provides a robust foundation for examining how Minecraft extends beyond mere entertainment to become a philosophical–educational platform uniquely suited to cultivating diverse components of children's abstract, ethical, and linguistic thinking.

## 3. Methodology

This study employs a conceptual–phenomenological analysis to investigate *Minecraft*'s potential for fostering children's abstract thinking. This dual-pronged approach remains faithful to both the semantic and structural nuances of a digital game while allowing a rigorous philosophical account of the relationship between the player's lived experience and the development of conceptual capacities.

### 3-1. Conceptual Analysis of Game Content

The conceptual analysis phase focuses on uncovering the abstract structures embedded in *Minecraft*'s design. Those game elements explicit or implicit that invite the player to engage with abstract concepts were systematically identified. Specifically, the following aspects were examined:

- In-game environments (e.g., biomes, constructed spaces)
- Gameplay rules (e.g., resource gathering, physics)
- Crafting mechanics (the combinatorial logic of item creation)
- Spatial architecture (block geometry, scale, proportion)
- Redstone circuitry (conditional logic systems)
- Interactive scenario design (e.g., puzzles, traps)
- Social roles (e.g., collaborator, builder, strategist)

The game was treated as an open text: each visual or interactive signifier—a block type, a Redstone component, a lighting effect—functions as a prompt guiding the player toward the discovery of its abstract signified. This analysis drew upon comparative readings of Piaget (1972), Vygotsky (2004), Lakoff and Johnson (1980), and Arnheim (1969), and was informed by insights from the philosophies of literature and the image.

### 3-2. Phenomenological Analysis of the Playing Experience

As noted, the phenomenological approach in this study serves as a complementary method, with the primary emphasis on conceptual analysis of game structures and their symbolic affordances. The goal of this supplementary component was to elucidate how elements of abstract thinking emerge in real-time during children's gameplay, without pursuing statistical generalization or adhering strictly to purely empirical methodologies.

Accordingly: (1) Twenty-five children, aged ten to twelve, were observed while playing *Minecraft* over eight weeks, in three ninety-minute sessions per week. During gameplay, relevant questions were posed, and initial open coding was performed to capture significant moments of engagement. (2) Next, audio-recorded interviews were transcribed, and preliminary codes were re-examined to refine and highlight those pertaining to components of abstract thinking. (3) Analytic memos—written during initial coding and subsequent review—guided the axial coding phase. These memos documented interpretive rationales for associating particular excerpts with specific dimensions of abstract thought. Axial coding then clustered initial codes into broader thematic categories. (4) During selective coding, each theme was assigned a title, a precise conceptual definition, and an illustrative excerpt from the transcripts. All transcripts were re-read to confirm or revise relevant segments. (5) Finally, the core components of abstract thinking, as manifested in the children lived gameplay experience, were identified and integrated into the final analysis, serving as a phenomenological complement to the study's primary conceptual framework.

### 3-3. Participants, Sampling, and Ethical Approval

Twenty-five children were selected through purposive sampling from Mofid School in Qom. Selection criteria required at least six months of prior *Minecraft* experience but no formal training in spatial reasoning. Informed consent was obtained from all parents or guardians. Children's data were anonymized and securely stored throughout the analysis.

## 4. Analysis of the Game

### 4-1. Spatial Visualization and Three-Dimensional Modeling

*Minecraft* provides a fully three-dimensional, dynamic environment that compels children to experience complex spatial concepts through hands-on practice. From the outset, the player must construct a precise mental image of the intended structure—a process which, through visuo-spatial reasoning and successive refinements, fosters the development of abstract thought.

**Understanding Dimensions and Proportions:** When selecting from a variety of blocks, children must consider each block's dimensions (length, width, height) and establish accurate proportions among them. For example, when designing a castle, the child must ensure that tower heights, gate widths, and wall lengths are in harmonious proportion so that the structure remains both structurally stable and aesthetically pleasing. This exercise helps the child

internalize abstractions such as area, volume, and ratio. Piaget (1972, 112–115) emphasizes that this form of logical–mathematical abstraction emerges from bodily and constructional experiences with space and quantity.

**Spatial Symmetry and Balance:** Maintaining symmetry is essential for constructing a balanced and resilient form. The child must place blocks with high precision to achieve mirror or radial balance in space. This mode of spatial play clearly echoes Piaget and Inhelder's account of the gradual development of classification, seriation, and spatial conservation skills (Inhelder & Piaget, 1964, 110–115). Here, the child is not merely building forms but practicing a spatial logic that underpins abstract thought.

**Mental Imagery and Anticipation of the Final Form:** Before beginning construction, the player generates a mental model of the finished structure—from block arrangement to internal coherence. This mental rehearsal enables anticipation of potential errors and the execution of necessary corrections before actual building. Piaget (1972, 114) calls this "internalizing action plans into abstract mental structures," a hallmark of formal operational thinking.

**Connection to Mathematical and Geometric Concepts:** Many construction activities in *Minecraft* implicitly involve mathematical and geometric concepts: calculating volume, determining ratios, and examining axes of symmetry are integral to the player's decision-making process. These moments demonstrate how concrete manipulation in the game world can foster the formation of abstract understanding. From this perspective, the game functions as a guided mathematical environment, aligning with Piaget's view that practical experience is crucial to concept formation (1972).

**Enhancing Analytical and Creative Abilities:** Through ongoing practice in spatial visualization and problem-solving, the child learns to decompose a challenge into smaller components and devise creative solutions. This is not only a Piagetian process of generating mental plans but also a hermeneutic experience akin to Gadamer's notion of play: the child, like an interpreter, enters into a sustained dialogue with spatial forms and, in response to the structure's feedback, constantly adjusts their understanding (Gadamer, 1986, 21–25). Thus, building in *Minecraft* is both a physical activity and a medium for developing abstract thought and reflective judgment.

### 4-2. Symbolic Thinking and the Use of Signs and Blocks

With its block-based design, *Minecraft* provides a dynamic platform for the development of symbolic thinking in children. What occurs in this game environment is not merely construction but the creation and transmission of meaning through coded signs and structures. During play, the child engages in reading and writing a new language of meaning that is simultaneously visual, spatial, and functional.

**Blocks as Linguistic Signs:** Each block in *Minecraft* functions as a linguistic sign carrying its own signified: stone blocks may signify solidity and permanence, whereas luminous blocks

(e.g., Glowstone) convey concepts such as illumination, guidance, or hope. These signified meanings may emerge consciously or unconsciously for the child, but in every case the child is engaged in encoding meaning. Within Peirce's and Barthes's semiotic frameworks, the child establishes the relationship between the sign (the block) and the mental concept (the meaning), thereby laying the groundwork for developing symbolic fluency (Peirce, 1931).

**Spatial Syntax and Semantic Structures:** This use of symbols exhibits a structure analogous to language. Just as words form sentences, in *Minecraft* the arrangement of blocks constructs a "spatial syntax." Blocks here serve as words, and their spatial ordering follows syntactic rules. Kress and van Leeuwen's theory of the "grammar of visual design" demonstrates that meaning arises not only from individual elements but from their visual and spatial arrangement (Kress & van Leeuwen, 2006, 1–4). In *Minecraft*, children intuitively learn how spatial configurations influence movement, social interaction, and perceptions of safety—insights that bring them closer to abstract architectural thinking and the analysis of complex structures (Hillier & Hanson, 1984, 90–105; Hanson, 1998, 60–65).

**Functional Encoding: Meaning through Operation:** Many *Minecraft* blocks possess functional qualities rather than purely aesthetic ones. Redstone, for example, enables the creation of logical circuits, causal chains, and conditional systems. By constructing function-based mechanisms, the child enters a layer of symbolic thought that goes beyond visual representation to encode processes themselves. Gee (2007, 114–118) shows that when digital games integrate conditional and causal structures, they become active environments for understanding and producing meaning through function. In this space, blocks are not only symbols but agents of process.

**Multiple Sign Systems and the Growth of Symbolic Intelligence:** In Gardner's theory of multiple intelligences, symbolic thinking manifests through linguistic, spatial, and logical–mathematical intelligences. Games such as *Minecraft*, which simultaneously activate multiple sign systems (visual, spatial, logical, motor), offer a unique opportunity to cultivate these intelligences in tandem (Gardner, 1983, 155–157). This multifaceted experience helps the child master diverse cognitive "languages"—not merely tools of expression but instruments for constructing meaning in the world.

### 4-3. Visual Literacy and the Semantics of Images

From the perspective of visual semantics, playing *Minecraft* serves as fertile ground for developing visual literacy in children (Arnheim, 1969, 45–48). In this process, children learn how to construct meaning through color, form, texture, and composition; they come to recognize the difference between signifier and signified, and by deliberately transforming signs, develop a critical stance toward existing systems of meaning. In this context, blocks in *Minecraft* become not merely gaming tools but symbolic media for meaning-making and the production of a visual language—a process that naturally fosters abstract thinking.

**Analogy and Metaphor as Cognitive Tools:** In *Minecraft*, children do more than arrange visual elements; they engage in deeper cognitive processes, such as translating abstract concepts into tangible structures via analogy and metaphor. These mechanisms enable children to discern relationships between phenomena and to apply those insights to both meaning-making and problem-solving.

**The Use of Analogy in Game Design:** For example, when designing a defensive base against nocturnal enemies, a child may model it on medieval castles or modern military outposts. In doing so, the child employs analogy to map an imaginative structure onto familiar real-world configurations. Gentner and Holyoak (1997, 32–34) identify this analogical mapping as a core mechanism in the development of abstract thinking.

**Metaphorical Representation of Concepts in the Game World:** This same mechanism operates on a metaphorical level. When a child marks the boundary between two territories using glass blocks, the design choice may metaphorically signify a "transparent yet impenetrable boundary." Such symbols implicitly represent dichotomies—familiarity versus alienation, freedom versus control, reality versus virtuality. Lakoff and Johnson (1980, 3–9) demonstrate that these metaphors are fundamental to how the human mind comprehends abstract concepts.

**Conceptual Metaphor and the Growth of Abstraction:** According to conceptual metaphor theory, we understand concepts like time, power, and social relations through underlying metaphors (e.g., "time is money," "power is up") (Lakoff & Johnson, 1980). In *Minecraft*, when a child builds a "safe house" or an "inner temple," they translate lived experience into a spatial–metaphorical language: the language of the mind, with which meaning is created, organized, and directed.

**Metaphorical Narrative and Personal Projects:** In some cases, children use *Minecraft* to represent personal stories and experiences. Constructing environments that metaphorically express a heroic journey, a sense of rejection, or longing for freedom shows that the child is not merely a player but the author of a metaphorical–spatial text. Recent research in cognitive semantics indicates that such representations—especially in childhood—play a fundamental role in fostering cognitive flexibility and cross-domain transfer (Thibodeau & Boroditsky, 2011, 1–7).

In summary, *Minecraft*, by activating analogy and metaphor at visual, conceptual, and narrative levels, offers an exceptional environment for cultivating abstract thinking in children—a kind of thinking that enables them to move from the perceptual to the conceptual and from lived experience to mental structure.

### 4-4. Critical Reflection on One's Own and Others' Creations

The process of construction in *Minecraft* is dynamic rather than static: it is a continuous cycle of experimentation, feedback, revision, and redesign. In this environment, children learn that the "finished" product is not an endpoint but a stage in an ongoing process of learning. This perspective encourages a form of reflective and critical thinking.

**Construction as an Open and Revisable Process:** *Minecraft* offers a space where initial designs function as provisional hypotheses—projects that require empirical testing, evaluation, and redesign. This iterative pattern engages the child in a process analogous to the scientific cycle, in which learning gains meaning through continuous review and modification. Sternberg (2003, 58–60) identifies this kind of reflective processing as the core of critical thinking.

**Reflection as Insight, Not Failure:** In *Minecraft*, mistakes are not markers of failure but opportunities for new insight. A child who constructs a bridge that is aesthetically pleasing but functionally inadequate learns to recognize the design's limitations (e.g., insufficient width) and then undertakes revision—adjusting dimensions, changing materials, or adding support elements. In this way, the child understands that reflection is a constructive, forward-moving process.

**Learning Through Feedback and Social Interaction:** In multiplayer environments, children are exposed to peer feedback—whether through text-based discussions or direct observation within the game. Such interaction creates opportunities for metacognition and cognitive self-regulation. Flavell (1979, 906–911) has shown that this reflection on one's own thinking is a key component of cognitive development; the child learns not only to think but also to assess the quality of that thinking. Zimmerman (2000, 14–16) further emphasizes that cognitive self-regulation plays a central role in independent, self-directed learning.

**Trial and Error Without Fear:** One important feature of *Minecraft* is that failure incurs no lasting cost. Children can demolish a structure, rebuild it, and experiment again—free from the fear of ridicule, grades, or punishment. This environment fosters an experiential learning ethic, in which mistakes are regarded not as shameful but as tools for growth and constructive critique (Gardiner, 2000, 239–245). As Dewey (1934, 77–80) observed, genuine learning occurs only when the learner feels permitted to err and to learn from those errors.

**The Link Between Reflection and Abstract Thinking:** The ability to reflect on past performance is, as Kuhn (1999, 16–25) explains, a key indicator of the transition from concrete to abstract thinking. Through the continuous practice of learning from past experiences in *Minecraft*, the child develops the capacity to review, analyze, and direct their own behavior—a capacity that extends to other areas of life, from writing and reading to relationship-building and social decision-making.

### 4-5. Logic: Conditionals, Contradiction, Iteration, and Causality

*Minecraft* provides a space where children intuitively and experientially engage with foundational concepts of logic, including conditionals, contradiction, iteration, and causality. When working with systems such as Redstone or command blocks, children apply notions like "if… then," "signal conflict," and "temporal dependency." These interactions constitute hands-on logic learning—learning that, unlike formal instruction, emerges through experience and problem-solving (Bell, 1986; Sternberg, 2003).

**Learning Conditional Logic and Applied Reasoning:** While working with Redstone, children encounter logical concepts—conditionals, causal chains, and recursive loops—in direct application. For example, pressing a button to open a door represents a conditional logic model. This experience aligns with Papert's (1980) concept of "learning through cognitive simulation," in which children internalize logic by enacting it in a virtual world rather than through abstraction alone.

**Contradiction, Paradox, and Error Analysis:** In designing in-game circuits, children often face malfunctions caused by conflicting signals or errors in operational sequences. They must identify, analyze, and correct these errors. This "debugging" process is not merely a technical skill but also an exercise in cultivating critical thinking and logical analysis (Perkins, 1985). In this context, contradiction or paradox functions as a cognitive challenge within the learning trajectory.

**Iteration, Algorithm, and Logical Design:** *Minecraft* enables the creation of algorithms structured around conditions, repetition, and temporal order. Children design systems step by step, arranging components in precise sequences. This practice constitutes a foundation of computational thinking, which Wing (2006) identifies as a key twenty-first-century skill. Instead of simply reading a definition of an algorithm, children in *Minecraft* build and experience one firsthand.

Ultimately, by offering an experiential framework for learning logic, *Minecraft* guides children toward structural cognition and analytical thinking—skills that, in formal education, are often presented as abstract and challenging but here become naturally and engagingly activated.

### 4-6. Problem Solving and Creative Strategies

*Minecraft* exposes children to complex, realistic challenges—ranging from resource management to designing defenses against virtual enemies. In this environment, children learn to arrive at creative solutions through careful analysis of each situation, evaluation of alternatives, and acceptance of trial and error. This process provides a dynamic foundation for developing abstract and strategic thinking.

**Problem Analysis and Identifying Challenges:** When confronted with crises—such as resource scarcity or structural weakness—children learn to break the problem down into

manageable components: identifying vulnerable points, analyzing environmental threats, and determining immediate actions for improvement. This "understanding the problem" step is precisely what Pólya (1957, 45–50) described in his classical problem-solving model. Such decomposition helps children organize their thoughts, shifting from vague engagement to a clear grasp of the challenge's dimensions.

**Evaluating Options and Designing Strategies:** After analysis, children assess their options: weighing advantages and disadvantages, calculating required resources, and anticipating possible outcomes. They may choose to build a defense system with Redstone or adopt teamwork-based strategies. This process requires deductive reasoning, prediction, and consequence evaluation—key elements in developing analytical and strategic thinking. Sternberg (1996, 89–94) identifies this as an integration of analytical, creative, and practical intelligence.

**Creativity in Execution and Innovation in Solutions:** *Minecraft*'s open, flexible environment encourages children to craft structures they have never seen before. They learn to devise unconventional solutions with limited resources—designing mechanisms that serve multiple purposes or combining technical approaches with social empathy (e.g., team-based defenses). This experience exemplifies Sternberg's "situated creativity": the ability to generate novel, appropriate, and flexible responses in real-world problem contexts (Sternberg, 1996, 91–94).

**Failure as a Component of Learning:** Since failure in *Minecraft* carries no serious consequences, children learn that every error is an opportunity to redesign their strategy. Failure becomes not a threat but a moment for improvement. This cultivates a "learning ethic" in which mistakes are viewed as tools for growth. Pólya (1957, 45–50) asserted that "true learning occurs when the child discovers the solution independently, even if they make mistakes along the way."

In sum, *Minecraft* models learning grounded in problem-solving, error, and creativity. The game not only develops children's cognitive skills but also prepares them for life in a complex, ever-changing world—one that demands continuous analysis, flexibility, and innovation.

### 4-7. Conceptualization and Early Theorization in Designing Imaginary Worlds

*Minecraft* is not merely a construction platform; it is a space where children move beyond imagination into conceptualization and the early stages of theorization. When a child designs an underground world with its own rules or constructs a floating city, they enter the domain of early theorization: an arena where ideas transform into hypothetical structures and causal systems (Kuhn, 2005, 134–137).

**Conceptual Ideation and Mental Modeling:** To begin designing an imaginary world, the child must formulate assumptions about the environment, its inhabitants, and governing rules. For instance, they might assume that nighttime is more dangerous and therefore requires

specific shelters. This initial hypothesis-building constitutes a form of conceptual modeling, wherein the child represents relationships among environmental conditions, behaviors, and consequences as a causal system—a model that, as Anderson (1993, 122–125) highlights, lays the groundwork for scientific thinking.

**Translating Experience into Hypothetical Structure:** Children translate real or fictional experiences into the language of spatial design and architecture—embodying fear, safety, cooperation, law, or disorder. However, this process involves not merely recreating experience but theoretically reinterpreting it into a hypothetical world. This transition leads to the formation of abstract, categorized concepts (Kuhn, 2005, 134–137), providing the initial foundation for social and scientific theorization.

**Spatial Organization and Structural Design:** At more advanced stages of play, the child transcends mere decoration to engage in systematic spatial planning. Designing a city with streets, functional zones, public spaces, and implicit rules represents a conceptual simulation of human society. This marks the beginning of systems thinking—constructing and analyzing complex systems with interrelated elements (Anderson, 1993, 122–125). In this way, the child moves from lived experience to theoretical thinking about social organization.

**Readiness for Analyzing Complex Structures:** This mental design experience prepares the child for analyzing complex systems in fields such as science, mathematics, and social studies. Gentner and Stevens (1983, 10–15) demonstrate that the ability to map structures and establish cross-domain relationships is foundational for scientific thinking and modeling. Thus, children progress from observation and experience to causal and explanatory frameworks—skills often difficult to convey through formal education alone.

Ultimately, *Minecraft* functions as a conceptual laboratory, enabling children to take their first steps in theorization: from hypothesis formation to system construction, from imagination to analysis. This experience simultaneously nurtures imaginative capacity and lays the scaffolding for scientific and abstract thinking.

### 4-8. Generalization and Pattern Modeling: From Experience to Concept

While playing *Minecraft*, children encounter situations that, despite their surface-level differences, share underlying structural or functional similarities. These meaningful repetitions form the foundation for transfer learning and conceptual pattern modeling—skills recognized as key indicators of abstract thought (Anderson, 1993, 122–125; Gagné, 1985, 160–165).

**Extracting Patterns and Conceptual Abstraction:** Through repeated experience and the observation of stable relationships between constructions and their outcomes, the child begins to identify generalizable patterns. For example, they might discover that digging a moat around a base is an effective strategy for preventing enemy invasion—and then replicate this pattern in future projects. This capacity to extract general principles from particular instances is precisely

what transfer-learning theorists identify as a prerequisite for applying concepts across contexts (Bransford, Brown, & Cocking, 2000, 66–69).

**Creative Pattern Application in Design and Problem-Solving:** Beyond behavioral generalization, *Minecraft* serves as a platform for transferring structures and mental models as well. The child learns that a successful design—such as a particular combination of defense mechanisms and resource management—can be adapted to new projects. However, this is not a simple copy-and-paste; rather, it requires creatively adjusting these patterns to new conditions. Perkins and Salomon (1989, 16–25) demonstrate that such pattern-based transfer is a core component of flexible, generalizable learning. In this way, the child forges a dynamic relationship between form and function, thereby expanding their capacity for abstract reasoning.

### 4-9. Conceptual and Physical Modeling: From Thinking to Representation

*Minecraft* also functions as a powerful modeling tool, enabling children to transform abstract concepts into tangible, observable structures. From constructing digital models of entire cities to simulating transportation or water-distribution systems, the child learns to grasp relationships among system components and their overall performance.

These experiences, as Meadows (2008, 50–55) and Senge (1990, 85–90) emphasize, form the foundation of systems thinking: the ability to comprehend interactions among elements, their interdependencies, and the dynamic behavior of a system over time. The game teaches children how to move from addressing a particular problem toward systems-level thinking—where causes, effects, and structures intertwine in complex, time-dependent relationships.

In this process, the child's abstract thinking evolves from the conceptual level to the spatial representational level: no longer merely thinking about a concept, but embodying it in space, form, and function.

**Enhancing Systems Thinking Skills:** From a cognitive perspective, modeling allows children to move beyond isolated components and focus on causal relationships and interdependencies among elements. This type of systems thinking leads to an understanding of feedback loops and dynamic patterns of change within a system (Senge, 1990, 87). By simulating processes such as resource circulation, population migration, or energy management, *Minecraft* provides an ideal environment for learning this form of thinking indirectly yet experientially.

**From Abstraction to Concretion:** *Minecraft* also enables children to reconstruct abstract concepts—such as infrastructure, security, and resource distribution—as concrete, physical forms. Aligning mental models with tangible reality helps them develop a more precise grasp of theoretical and functional concepts. Even at elementary levels, modeling cultivates an awareness of the complexity and dynamic nature of systems—insights that are not as easily conveyed through language alone or traditional diagrams (Meadows, 2008, 52).

**Multi-Layered Representation:** Modeling in *Minecraft* is not purely visual or spatial; it also involves social, economic, and ethical dimensions. For example, when a child designs a sustainable city, they must reflect on issues such as energy consumption, waste management, and distributive justice. These conceptual layers extend modeling beyond technical fields into philosophical and social domains (Forrester, 1994, 68–70).

In conclusion, *Minecraft*—by providing a space for open and creative modeling—significantly contributes to children's ability to understand complex systems, translate abstract ideas into visible structures, and enhance their conceptual thinking.

### 4-10. Anticipation and Planning for Complex Structures

One of the keys to success in *Minecraft* is the ability to anticipate possible outcomes and design precise pathways to achieve them. Children face challenges in this dynamic environment that require predicting the consequences of their actions. This continuous cycle of environmental analysis, anticipation, and revision fosters abstract and strategic thinking—a process that Piaget (1972, 112–115) aligns with the transition from concrete to abstract thought, wherein the child mentally simulates hypothetical situations and predicts their outcomes.

**Predicting Environmental Effects:** Before constructing a defensive system or any complex structure, the child must carefully analyze environmental factors—such as the presence of hostile creatures, variations in lighting, or weather conditions—that might affect the design's functionality. Piaget (1972, 114) describes this "anticipatory schemata" as the capacity to move beyond reactive responses toward predictive reasoning.

**Precise Planning Based on Initial Evaluations:** After prediction, the child devises a detailed plan for allocating resources, sequencing construction phases, and coordinating structure components. Vygotsky (2004, 25–30) argues that such planning signals the emergence of "higher mental functions"—a process that initially arises through social interaction and is later internalized as a tool for self-regulation and problem-solving in abstract thinking.

**Developing Strategic Thinking Skills:** Given that the *Minecraft* world is dynamic and ever-changing, the child must continuously adapt and revise strategies in response to evolving conditions. This process exemplifies Dewey's (1933, 109–110) notion of reflective thinking: a constant, reciprocal relationship between hypothesis and experience, where each new experience reshapes and enriches the individual's mental model of the world.

**Learning Through Trial and Error:** *Minecraft*'s design encourages children to make mistakes without fear of failure, since consequences are always reversible. This aligns closely with Vygotsky's (1978, 84–86) concept of the "Zone of Proximal Development," in which the gap between a child's current abilities and potential is constructively bridged through guidance, practice, and reflective learning.

In sum, the cycle of anticipation, planning, and revision in *Minecraft* guides children toward developing strategic thinking skills that apply not only within the game but also in tackling

complex real-world situations. Thus, *Minecraft* offers an exceptional, interactive, experiential framework for practicing abstract reasoning.

### 4-11. Imagination and Foresight in Environmental Design

Piaget argues that the ability to seriate objects by size and preserve quantitative relations underpins the child's transition from concrete to abstract thinking (Inhelder & Piaget, 1964, 110–115; Piaget, 1972, 112–115). In *Minecraft*, understanding dimensions and proportions exemplifies this seriation process: by directly manipulating three-dimensional blocks, children concretely experience and internalize the abstract concepts of area, volume, and ratio. Meanwhile, Kress and van Leeuwen's multimodal theory demonstrates that organizing blocks according to visual principles—such as symmetry and sequence—gradually forms a "spatial grammar" that supports structural, abstract reasoning (Kress & van Leeuwen, 2006, 1–4). Thus, hands-on precision in determining block length, width, and height not only strengthens spatial skills but also provides the necessary foundation for mathematically informed, abstract judgments.

**Freedom of Imagination in a Boundless Environment:** *Minecraft* offers children an open-ended environment, unhindered by conventional real-world constraints. Within this space, players can construct unique, imaginary worlds and define their own logic and rules. Vygotsky (2004, 13–15) emphasizes in his analysis of imagination's role in child development that imagination is not merely a tool for entertainment but a foundation for the emergence of complex cognitive capacities, since it allows children to envision alternative realities and represent them mentally.

**Imagination as the Basis for Foresight:** The process of imagination during gameplay extends beyond creating momentary experiences; it is directly linked to children's developing abilities to predict and plan. Piaget (1973, 88–90) defines this capacity as a hallmark of abstract thinking and formal operations: when the child can mentally construct hypothetical situations and anticipate their outcomes.

**An Experience of Self-Regulation in Long-Term Projects:** Transforming imaginative ideas into practical structures compels children to develop self-regulation skills throughout extended projects. Dewey (1934, 47–51) views this connection between imagination and practical planning as a sign of the maturation of aesthetic experience, in which the child actively and reflectively shapes both the path to a goal and the goal itself.

**From Creativity to Life Competencies:** Ultimately, *Minecraft*, by providing an open environment for the expression of imagination and foresight, enables children to translate abstract ideas into tangible, observable structures. Gadamer (1986, 123–126) shows in his philosophical analysis of play and aesthetic experience that this process constitutes a dialogical interaction with the world, through which the child both rediscovers the self and reconstructs the world's meaning.

### 4-12. Understanding Other Perspectives (Theory of Mind and Spatial Multiperspectivity)

One core indicator of abstract thinking is the ability to understand and represent multiple perspectives—a cognitive capacity that liberates the individual from exclusive reliance on personal experience and enables mental reconstruction of situations from another person's standpoint. In developmental psychology, this capacity is identified as "Theory of Mind," referring to the understanding that others possess thoughts, emotions, and intentions independent of one's own, and that, in similar situations, they may act differently (Flavell, 2000, 21–22; Wellman & Liu, 2004, 523–541).

From Piaget's perspective, this skill signifies the child's transition from egocentric thought in early development to abstract, logical reasoning: the moment when the child learns to differentiate between self and others' perspectives and integrate these views into more complex mental models (Piaget, 1962, 95–99). In *Minecraft*'s multiplayer environment, this process unfolds naturally. The child must constantly consider teammates' goals, resources, and priorities and anticipate their potential reactions and decisions. This capacity fosters abstract thinking in a practical, interactive form.

**Utilizing Multimodal Feedback:** When interacting with other players, the child encounters data that extend beyond verbal communication: behaviors, decisions, and actions of others—responses to the child's own gameplay—demonstrate that the world is not perceived from a single perspective. This multiperspective experience exemplifies Gadamer's "fusion of horizons," in which meaning emerges through dialogue between differing viewpoints (Gadamer, 1986, 126). Continuous practice in shifting perspectives and engaging in cognitive rotation within such an environment is a fundamental strategy for nurturing abstract thinking, as the child learns to revise their understanding in light of new data and integrate diverse viewpoints into their analysis.

**Strengthening Empathy and Cooperation:** Piaget's analysis of children's social-development stages shows that the ability to empathize and comprehend others' social roles is essential for the transition from egocentric thought to logical and moral reasoning (Piaget, 1932, 190–197). In *Minecraft*, group activities require the child to consider not only their own desires but also the needs, goals, and limitations of others when making decisions. This process parallels Vygotsky's (1978, 90) concept of "co-construction of meaning" within social cooperation, continually prompting the child to revise their mental models.

Ultimately, these multilayered interactions with others and the process of learning to integrate diverse perspectives form a pillar of abstract thinking: the realization that any problem can be analyzed not only from "what is" but also from "what could be." This transition marks a critical turning point in the child's cognitive development, enabling them to understand and represent complex concepts, ethical decisions, and causal relations—precisely what Piaget (1972, 112–115) defines as the hallmark of the final stages of cognitive growth.

In conclusion, *Minecraft*, by offering an interactive, multiperspective environment, invites children to practice seeing the world through varied horizons and to analyze situations beyond their personal experience—a process that not only enhances social skills and empathy but also plays a crucial role in strengthening the structures of abstract thinking necessary for understanding complex concepts and designing hypothetical systems mentally.

### 4-13. Abstract Social Skills: Negotiation, Rule-Making, Agreement

In multiplayer settings such as *Minecraft*, children face situations that compel them to think beyond individual interests and make decisions oriented toward collective success. Cooperative-learning research shows that such interactive environments provide a rich context for developing social skills with a high degree of abstraction—skills requiring comprehension of implicit, abstract structures in human relationships, such as tacit agreements, mutual responsibility, power dynamics, and principles of social justice (Johnson & Johnson, 1995, 60–65).

From Piaget's cognitive developmental perspective, this form of social learning marks the transition from egocentric thinking to higher levels of abstract reasoning, since it requires the child to redefine themselves within complex social systems and apply general principles of human interaction to various situations (Piaget, 1932, 187–190).

**Negotiation as Thinking About Interaction Structure:** When children collaborate on *Minecraft* projects, they inevitably negotiate task distribution, decision-making mechanisms, and activity scheduling. This process activates internalization of social structures: the child must comprehend abstract frameworks such as distributive justice, role prioritization, and power balance, and then apply them contextually. Fisher and Ury's (1991, 45–50) model of "principled negotiation" is grounded precisely in these capabilities: understanding the interests of all parties, proposing solutions that focus on the problem rather than attacking individuals, and creating conflict-resolution models transferable to other circumstances.

**Rule-Making and Collaborative Norm-Building:** Unlike many other digital games, *Minecraft* in creative or open mode lacks a predefined system for regulating player behavior. This absence obliges children to collaborate in constructing rules and establishing regulations to maintain order and enable cooperation. Vygotsky (1978, 85–89) describes this process in his "Zone of Proximal Development," noting that through interaction with others, children create and understand structures transcending the immediate context—forming generalizable patterns for cooperation and justice. Such rule-making strengthens the child's abstract capacity to connect rules with diverse real-world situations.

**Agreement as an Abstract Mechanism for Conflict Resolution:** Reaching agreement in *Minecraft*'s interactive environment requires compromise, understanding others' priorities, and rethinking personal interests in light of shared goals. This skill, linked to "equity and fairness in social interactions" in moral philosophy, offers a practical platform for exercising abstract

thinking in ethical and political domains. Johnson and Johnson (1995, 60–63) demonstrate that in educational settings requiring negotiation and conflict resolution, children learn that agreement is not a matter of chance or superficial compromise but requires deep comprehension of the mental and social structures of all involved, careful evaluation of reasons, and analysis of interests and values embedded in the decision.

In conclusion, *Minecraft*'s interactive structure—by offering opportunities for negotiation, collaborative rule-making, and agreement—creates an active environment for developing children's abstract social skills. According to cognitive and social developmental theories, these skills are prerequisites for meaningful participation in broader social structures such as family, school, and society (Vygotsky, 1978; Johnson & Johnson, 1995; Fisher & Ury, 1991).

### 4-14. Teamwork and Role-Taking

Group projects in *Minecraft* provide an active, hands-on environment for interactive learning and collaboration. Within this space, children transcend individual action and gradually engage in social structures requiring coordination, role assumption, and fulfillment of specific responsibilities. Johnson, Johnson, and Holubec (1998, 100–105) demonstrate that this process not only strengthens social skills but also nurtures the foundations of social-abstract thinking—particularly in understanding complex concepts such as role interdependence, collective responsibility, and mutual dependency within human systems.

From Vygotsky's socio-cultural perspective (1978, 89–90), goal-oriented collaboration in play exposes children to situations where learning occurs not in isolation but within the context of social interaction, thereby fostering more complex cognitive structures.

**Role-Taking as Simulation of Social Structures:** When children collaborate on complex *Minecraft* projects—such as building a castle or designing a city—they must adopt different roles: architect, defensive strategist, resource gatherer, or operations coordinator. These role divisions, even in a virtual setting, constitute an abstract analogue of task allocation mechanisms in real societies. By understanding the interdependence of roles, the child learns that the overall success of a structure depends on cooperation and role coordination—a realization that, according to Slavin (1995, 120–125), is key to developing a deep understanding of group dynamics in both social and educational contexts.

**Division of Labor and Systems Thinking:** Progress in team projects in *Minecraft* demands the ability to grasp relationships among components and engage in systems thinking. The child must understand how individual actions can affect the entire structure and collaborative process. This awareness—identified by Piaget (1972, 114–117) as a marker of the transition from concrete to systematic abstract thinking—emerges naturally in cooperative group activities. In such contexts, children learn that a project's success relies on the effective performance of every component and that weakness in any part (e.g., resource acquisition) can compromise overall

progress, thereby fostering an understanding of causal relationships and interdependent structures.

**Conflict Management and Collective Decision-Making:** In collaborative settings, conflicts and differences of perspective are inevitable. *Minecraft* provides an environment in which children can practice communication, empathy, conflict management, and collective decision-making—skills that Johnson and Johnson (1995, 63–67) emphasize as crucial to developing social-abstract thinking and foundational for participation in larger social structures.

Through this process, the child learns that achieving agreement requires considering not only personal interests but also the preferences and needs of others and integrating principles of justice and fairness into their decisions. This practice serves as practical training for nurturing abstract thinking at both social and moral levels.

In sum, group projects in *Minecraft* offer a unique opportunity for children to familiarize themselves with the principles of role-taking, division of labor, systems thinking, and collaborative decision-making. Within a non-coercive and open environment, these experiences accelerate the growth of social-abstract thinking and prepare children for meaningful participation in more complex social structures—such as schools and communities (Johnson, & Holubec, 1998; Slavin, 1995; Vygotsky, 1978).

Now, in the table below, each component identified through the game analysis is accompanied by a concise definition, a concrete *Minecraft* example, and salient excerpts from phenomenological interviews, thereby providing an integrated overview of how abstract thinking develops in children.

**Table 1.** ????????

| Component of Abstract Thinking | Brief Definition | Example in *Minecraft* | Evidence from Interviews |
|---|---|---|---|
| **1. Spatial Visualization** | The capacity to mentally manipulate three-dimensional spaces—recognizing dimensions, scales, and proportions. | "From the outset, the player must construct a precise mental image of the intended structure—a process which, through visuospatial reasoning and successive refinements, contributes to the development of abstract thought." | "Children explained that before beginning construction, they first built the entire structure in their mind's eye to ensure correct height and proportions when placing blocks." |
| **2. Symbolic Reasoning** | The ability to recognize and interpret meaning or symbolism embedded in objects—understanding that each block or mechanism conveys a distinct concept. | "Each block in *Minecraft* functions like a linguistic sign carrying its own signified: stone blocks may signify solidity and permanence, whereas luminous blocks (e.g., Glowstone) convey | "One child stated, 'We learned that a glowing block marks a safe path—when I place it, I know I'm signaling "safety" to other players.'" |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | concepts such as illumination, guidance, or hope." | |
| **3. Logical & Algorithmic Analysis** | Employing conditionals, sequences, loops, and causal relations to solve problems. | "When working with Redstone, children encounter logical concepts such as conditionals, causal chains, and recursive loops through direct application. For example, pressing a button to open a door is not merely a simple interaction but represents a conditional logic model." | "A participant explained, 'When I press the button, first a signal goes to the piston, and once the piston rises, a second signal activates the lock.'" |
| **4. Analogy & Metaphor** | Transferring structures or concepts from one domain to another; identifying correspondences between familiar real-world models and game scenarios. | "For example, a child who is designing a defensive base against nocturnal enemies may model the design on medieval castles or modern military outposts." | "One child remarked, 'I dug a moat around my fortress just like that historical castle I read about in my history textbook, so it would serve as a protective barrier.'" |
| **5. Visual Literacy** | Constructing meaning through visual elements—distinguishing between signifier and signified, interpreting textures and color compositions. | "In this process, children learn how to construct meaning through color, form, texture, and visual composition; they come to recognize the difference between the signifier and the signified, and even, by deliberately transforming signs, develop a critical stance toward existing systems of meaning." | "A child said, 'When I built my fortress wall out of oak planks, I understood why I felt peaceful in that space—it gave me a sense of "home."'" |
| **6. Conceptual Modeling** | Translating abstract concepts or causal relations into visual/spatial models. | "From constructing digital models of a city to simulating transportation or water distribution systems, the child learns to understand the relationship between the components of a system and its overall performance." | "One participant described, 'I created a fully automated farm that uses hoppers and water flow to harvest crops on its own—like a miniature model of real agriculture.'" |
| **7. Foresight** | The ability to anticipate potential outcomes and plan accordingly. | "This process of imagination in gameplay extends beyond the creation of momentary experiences; it is directly linked to the development of children's ability for | "A child noted, 'When I wanted to dig a tunnel, I first predicted that the roof might collapse. So I tunneled from the side to |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | prediction and planning. Piaget refers to this as 'internalizing action plans into abstract mental structures.'" | ensure I could escape if danger arose.'" |
| **8. Generalization** | Extracting patterns from specific situations and applying them in new contexts. | "Through repeated experience and the observation of stable relationships between constructions and their outcomes, the child arrives at generalizable patterns. For example, they may discover that digging a moat around a base is an effective strategy for preventing enemy entry—and then repeat this pattern in subsequent projects." | "One child stated, 'In my first fortress, I saw that without a moat enemies could enter easily. So for all later forts, I always dug a moat first.'" |
| **9. Critical Reflection** | Evaluating constructions and decisions, identifying errors, and revising accordingly. | "In *Minecraft*, mistakes are not simply markers of failure but opportunities for gaining new insight. A child who constructs a bridge that is aesthetically pleasing but functionally inadequate learns to recognize the design's limitations and undertakes the process of revision." | "A participant commented, 'When my first bridge collapsed, I realized it was too narrow. I rebuilt it and learned to calculate the width more accurately.'" |
| **10. Systems Thinking** | Understanding and representing interactions among components as an integrated whole—recognizing feedback loops and interdependencies. | "Meadows and Senge emphasize that modeling experiences form the foundation of systems thinking. *Minecraft* allows children to simulate processes such as resource circulation, population migration, or energy management, providing an ideal environment for indirect learning of this form of thinking." | "One child explained, 'I built an underwater city that needed a working oxygen and food cycle. I understood that if one of the pipes got blocked, everything would collapse.'" |
| **11. Planning** | Developing step-by-step schemes, allocating resources, scheduling tasks, and setting priorities. | "Before starting to construct a defensive system or any other complex structure, the child must carefully analyze environmental factors, such as the presence of hostile creatures, variations in | "A participant said, 'First I sketched the fortress layout on paper and simulated how I would gather resources. Then I entered the game and built the foundation blocks first.'" |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | lighting, or weather conditions in the game world that might affect the structure's functionality. This predictive skill reflects 'anticipatory schemata.'" | |
| **12. Imagination** | Generating ideas and worlds beyond everyday experience—creating meaning and narrative. | "*Minecraft* offers children an open-ended environment, free from the conventional constraints of real-world settings. In this space, players can construct unique imaginary worlds and determine their own logic and rules." | "A child described, 'I wanted to build a floating sky city. Nothing in real life was like it, so I built it mentally first and then tested it in the game.'" |
| **13. Social Role-Taking** | Perceiving others' roles, goals, and constraints within a group context. | "In *Minecraft*'s multiplayer environment, children are required, at any given moment, to consider teammates' goals, resources, and priorities, and to anticipate their possible reactions and decisions. This capacity facilitates the emergence of abstract thinking in a practical and interactive form." | "One child noted, 'When we worked together on a bridge, I had to gather food while my friend built walls. If I arrived late, the project stalled.'" |
| **14. Ethical Negotiation** | Understanding and applying values related to fairness, shared responsibility, and resource distribution. | "Reaching agreement in *Minecraft*'s interactive environment requires compromise, understanding others' priorities, and rethinking personal interests in light of shared goals. This skill offers a practical platform for exercising abstract thinking in ethical and political domains." | "A participant recalled, 'When everyone wanted diamonds, we agreed to secure our food needs first and then go for diamonds—because we all needed to stay alive for the game to continue.'" |

## Conclusion

The findings of this study demonstrate that digital games—and *Minecraft* in particular—possess capacities extending far beyond the conventional notion of video games as mere entertainment. Instead, *Minecraft* presents itself as an interactive, dynamic, and structured environment capable of fostering the development of abstract thinking in children. The player's lived experience within this environment not only enhances spatial and logical abilities but also

provides fertile ground for cultivating other cognitive dimensions, such as conceptual modeling, symbolic reasoning, analogy and metaphor, critical reflection, foresight, and even ethical deliberation.

Viewed through the lens of the philosophy of education, these insights reaffirm the significance of designing learning environments that are active, flexible, and aesthetically rich, with the explicit aim of nurturing abstract thought as a central educational goal. The conceptual–phenomenological analysis presented here shows that *Minecraft*—through its spatial architecture, social interactions, logical systems, metaphorical and symbolic patterns, modeling, and conceptualization—creates an ideal platform for engaging children with the core components of abstract reasoning. These cognitive processes are practiced experientially and interactively throughout gameplay, thus positioning the game not merely as a recreational diversion but as an educational event and, akin to classical philosophical texts or traditional pedagogical practices, a space for the formation and maturation of thought.

Through the perspective of educational philosophy, *Minecraft* stands as a vivid testament to the claim that twenty-first–century education cannot remain confined to the transmission of information. Rather, it must engage children in processes of meaning-making, self-regulation, critical reflection, metaphorical reasoning, and conceptual modeling. Digital games—particularly open-ended "sandbox" environments like *Minecraft*—offer precisely the experiential and social conditions under which abstract thinking can flourish, both individually and collaboratively. Moreover, this research reveals that *Minecraft* gameplay activates complex cognitive, ethical, and aesthetic mechanisms, granting children an existential encounter with fundamental philosophical concepts—structure, order, relation, causality, empathy, and potentiality—far beyond surface-level learning or mere technical skill acquisition. This observation aligns with Gadamer's notion of play as a form of hermeneutic encounter (Gadamer, 1986).

Ultimately, *Minecraft* serves as an exemplary case of a digital game that successfully establishes an integrated, coherent connection between education, philosophy, and play. This convergence not only demands a fundamental reconsideration of how "play" is traditionally understood but also calls for a critical rethinking of the institutional structures that define formal education. In an era where cultivating abstract thought is more urgent than ever, the tools capable of facilitating direct, immersive, and concept-driven experiences must assume a central role—and digital games, as this study suggests, are among the most powerful of those tools.

Although the findings indicate that digital games—particularly *Minecraft*—hold significant potential for cultivating abstract thinking in children, it is essential to underscore that the link between these developmental potentials and the challenges of real-world educational systems—especially traditional schooling—remains limited and insufficiently articulated. Specifically:

**Sustainability within Formal Education:** The phenomenological, meaning-oriented experiences enabled by *Minecraft*—due to its open-ended and play-based nature—are often incompatible with the rigid structures of traditional schooling, which prioritize standardized curricula, grade-based assessment, and teacher-centered instruction. Even though this study demonstrates how children flourish cognitively and abstractly within such game environments, these affordances are frequently marginalized in schools under the dual pressures of textbook coverage and high-stakes testing.

**Infrastructure and Technological Access:** Fully leveraging *Minecraft* as an educational tool requires adequate hardware, stable internet connectivity, and educators trained in the pedagogical integration of digital games. Many schools—particularly in developing regions or underserved areas—lack both the necessary infrastructure and the trained personnel to facilitate meaningful, sustained engagement with such platforms.

**Assessment and Learning-Evaluation Mechanisms:** A central dilemma in formal education is how to meaningfully assess the abstract and phenomenological capacities developed through gameplay. Current assessment systems, which rely heavily on multiple-choice or written examinations, are ill-suited to capture the dynamic, process-oriented, and interpretive dimensions of learning that *Minecraft* fosters.

**Cultural and Pedagogical Resistance to "Play as Learning":** In many traditional contexts, play is still viewed primarily as recreation or leisure—not as a legitimate vehicle for advanced cognitive development. Consequently, integrating an open-ended sandbox environment like *Minecraft* into formal classrooms often encounters resistance from administrators, teachers, or even parents.

Given these tensions, a more sustained, policy-level reimagination of education is necessary if we are to integrate the philosophical and phenomenological affordances of *Minecraft* meaningfully and enduringly into the fabric of everyday schooling. To transition *Minecraft* from a peripheral, experimental tool to a core educational medium, the following steps are essential:

**a. Design official assessment frameworks** aligned with the development of abstract and phenomenological capacities—rather than relying solely on conventional written tests.

**b. Provide structured, practice-based training programs for educators**, enabling them to competently incorporate *Minecraft* into classroom instruction.

**c. Foster ongoing professional growth for teachers themselves**, ensuring they possess both the epistemic understanding and practical competencies required to deliver innovative curricular content.

**d. Establish equitable technological infrastructure in schools**, so that all students have access to the necessary hardware and connectivity.

**e. Conduct longitudinal, comparative research** between traditional classrooms and those incorporating *Minecraft* (or similar digital platforms) to empirically substantiate the long-term cognitive and pedagogical benefits of such environments.

In conclusion, while *Minecraft* is increasingly recognized as a "hermeneutic playground" capable of nurturing abstract reasoning through layers of philosophical and cognitive engagement, realizing its full potential within the lived reality of formal education demands structural reinvention. Until these gaps are addressed, the deep and enduring impact of such digital games on learning and cognitive development will remain scattered and partial—especially within the classical architecture of conventional educational systems.

## Suggestions for Future Research

As outlined above, the findings of this study suggest that digital game environments—particularly *Minecraft*—are gradually dissolving the traditional boundary between "learning" and "thinking" in the philosophical sense. These environments offer cross-modal, media-rich experiences that invite children to become active meaning-makers, much as readers construct understanding through dynamic engagement with a philosophical or literary text. This convergence of play and thought reflects the conceptual evolution of education in the twenty-first century—a transformation in which distinctions between entertainment and reflection, experience and perception, form and content become increasingly blurred.

Nonetheless, this study also acknowledges several limitations, which open promising avenues for future inquiry:

**Longitudinal and Experimental Research:** Studies are needed to examine the long-term effects of gameplay in environments similar to *Minecraft* on the development of children's abstract thinking and broader cognitive capacities.

**Role of Narrative and Metaphor:** Future research could explore how narrative and metaphorical elements within game worlds contribute to the formation of abstract thinking, paying special attention to deeper semantic layers of player experience.

**Comparative Educational Contexts:** Comparative research between traditional educational environments and interactive, construction-based digital platforms could provide further insight into how abstract thinking develops across different curricular contexts.

**Philosophical Investigations of "Play":** Philosophical studies on the evolving concept of "play" in the digital age—and its relation to abstract reasoning and philosophical education—are essential for deepening our theoretical understanding of this field.

Taken together, these conclusions and suggested research pathways underscore the urgent need to reconceptualize how digital games are understood. Rather than being reduced to tools for recreation, these games must be recognized as contemporary spaces for reflective thinking—environments in which, through children's aesthetic and interpersonal experiences, the foundations for rationality and abstract thought can be laid in novel and creative ways.

## Acknowledgments

I am deeply grateful to Kourosh and Karen Afshari, whose child-philosopher curiosity first planted the seed of this inquiry in my mind, and whose diligent perseverance enabled its successful completion.

## References

Aarseth, E. (1997). *Cybertext: Perspectives on ergodic literature.* Johns Hopkins University Press.

Anderson, J. R. (1993). *Rules of the mind.* Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Arnheim, R. (1969). *Visual thinking.* University of California Press.

Bell, J. (1986). *Thinking in logic: Introduction to the concepts of modern logic.* Harper & Row.

Boekaerts, M., Pintrich, P. R., & Zeidner, M. (Eds.). (2000). *Handbook of self-regulation* ( 13–39). Academic Press. (Zimmerman, B. J., "Attaining self-regulation: A social cognitive perspective," 13–39)

Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (Eds.). (2000). *How people learn: Brain, mind, experience, and school.* National Academy Press.

Creswell, J. W. (2013). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (3rd ed.). Sage.

Digital Game–Based Learning Research Group. (2024). Effects of digital game interventions on early childhood development: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Early Childhood Research, 22*(3), 210–228.

Dewey, J. (1934). *Art as experience.* Perigee.

Fisher, R., & Ury, W. (1991). *Getting to Yes: Negotiating agreement without giving in.* Penguin.

Flavell, J. H. (1979). Metacognition and cognitive monitoring: A new area of cognitive–developmental inquiry. *American Psychologist, 34*(10), 906–911.

Flavell, J. H. (2000). Development of children's understanding of mind. *Cognition, 34*(1), 21–23.

Flusser, V. (1983). *Towards a philosophy of photography* (A. P. Franks, Trans.). Reaktion Books.

Forrester, J. W. (1994). *Learning through system dynamics as preparation for the 21st century.* MIT Press.

Gagné, R. M. (1985). *The conditions of learning and theory of instruction* (4th ed.). Holt, Rinehart & Winston.

Gadamer, H.-G. (1986). *The relevance of the beautiful and other essays* (N. Walker & R. Marshall, Trans.). Cambridge University Press.

Gardiner, M. F. (2000). *Mind and brain: Dialogues in cognitive neuroscience.* Oxford University Press.

Gardner, H. (1983). *Frames of mind: The theory of multiple intelligences.* Basic Books.

Gentner, D., & Holyoak, K. J. (1997). Reasoning and learning by analogy: Introduction. *American Psychologist, 52*(1), 32–34. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.52.1.32

Gentner, D., & Stevens, A. L. (Eds.). (1983). *Mental models.* Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Gee, J. P. (2007). *What video games have to teach us about learning and literacy* (2nd ed.). Palgrave Macmillan.

González-Slattery, J., Brown, L., & Miller, T. (2025). Effects of Minecraft-based learning: A systematic review. *Journal of Educational Technology, 45*(2), 123–145.

Hernandez, A., Smith, B., & Lee, C. (2024). Integrating Minecraft into STEM curricula: A mixed-methods evaluation. *International Journal of STEM Education, 11*(1), Article 5. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-024-00369-2

Hillier, B., & Hanson, J. (1984). *The social logic of space.* Cambridge University Press.

Hanson, J. (1998). *Decoding homes and houses: Studies in architectural sociology.* Cambridge University Press.

Inhelder, B., & Piaget, J. (1964). *The early growth of logic in the child: Classification and seriation* (E. Lunzer, Trans.). Routledge & Kegan Paul.

Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (1995). *Creative controversy: Intellectual challenge in the classroom.* Interaction Book Company.

Johnson, D. W., Johnson, R. T., & Holubec, E. J. (1998). *Cooperation in the classroom.* Interaction Book Company.

Kress, G., & van Leeuwen, T. (2006). *Reading images: The grammar of visual design* (2nd ed.). Routledge.

Kuhn, D. (1999). A developmental model of critical thinking. *Educational Researcher, 28*(2), 16–25. https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X028002016

Kuhn, D. (2005). *Education for thinking.* Harvard University Press.

Lakoff, G., & Johnson, M. (1980). *Metaphors we live by.* University of Chicago Press.

Latour, B. (2005). *Reassembling the social: An introduction to actor–network theory*. Oxford University Press.

Lee, D., Roberts, J., & Kim, S. (2024). Cultivating metacognition through commercial video games: The case of Minecraft. *Frontiers in Education, 9*, Article 101234. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2024.101234

Lipman, M. (2003). *Thinking in education* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Meadows, D. H. (2008). *Thinking in systems: A primer.* Chelsea Green.

Morales, P., & Chen, L. (2023). Training spatial reasoning with Minecraft Education Edition: A school-aligned intervention. *Educational Technology & Society*, *26*(4), 50–62.

Newell, A., & Simon, H. A. (1972). *Human problem solving.* Prentice Hall.

Nussbaum, M. C. (1997). *Cultivating humanity: A classical defense of reform in liberal education.* Harvard University Press.

Papert, S. (1980). *Mindstorms: Children, computers, and powerful ideas.* Basic Books.

Patel, R., & Singh, A. (2024). Virtual block-building workshops and spatial skill development in elementary students. *Computers & Education, 190*, Article 104613. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2024.104613

Peirce, C. S. (1931). *Collected papers of Charles Sanders Peirce* (Vol. 2). Harvard University Press.

Perkins, D. N. (1985). *The mind's best work.* Harvard University Press.

Perkins, D. N., & Salomon, G. (1989). Are cognitive skills context-bound? *Educational Researcher, 18*(1), 16–25.

Piaget, J. (1962). *Play, dreams and imitation in childhood.* Routledge & Kegan Paul.

Piaget, J. (1972). *The psychology of the child* (B. Gabain & H. Weaver, Trans.). Basic Books.

Piaget, J. (1973). *To understand is to invent: The future of education* (G. A. Roberts, Trans.). Grossman.

Polya, G. (1957). *How to solve it.* Princeton University Press.

Prensky, M. (2001). *Digital game-based learning.* McGraw-Hill.

Senge, P. M. (1990). *The fifth discipline: The art & practice of the learning organization.* Doubleday.

Slavin, R. E. (1995). *Cooperative learning: Theory, research, and practice.* Allyn & Bacon.

Sternberg, R. J. (1996). *Successful intelligence: How practical and creative intelligence contribute to outstanding achievement.* Plume.

Sternberg, R. J. (2003). *Wisdom, intelligence, and creativity synthesized.* Cambridge University Press.

Thibodeau, P. H., & Boroditsky, L. (2011). Metaphors we think with: The role of metaphor in reasoning. *PLoS ONE, 6*(2), e16782. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0016782

Vandenberg, S. G., & Kuse, A. R. (1978). Mental rotations, a group test of three-dimensional spatial visualization. *Perceptual and Motor Skills, 47*(2), 599–604. https://doi.org/10.2466/pms.1978.47.2.599

Van Manen, M. (1990). *Researching lived experience: Human science for an action-sensitive pedagogy.* State University of New York Press.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes.* Harvard University Press.

Vygotsky, L. S. (2004). Imagination and creativity in childhood (M. E. Sharpe, Trans.). *Journal of Russian & East European Psychology, 42*(1), 7–97.

Wellman, H. M., & Liu, D. (2004). Scaling of theory-of-mind tasks. *Child Development, 75*(2), 523–541. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2004.00691.x

Wing, J. M. (2006). Computational thinking. *Communications of the ACM, 49*(3), 33–35. https://doi.org/10.1145/1118178.1118215

Zhang, Y., Wang, H., & Chen, M. (2025). A metaverse-like STEM environment in Minecraft Education Edition: Impacts on systems thinking and collaborative problem solving. *Journal of Virtual Worlds Research, 18*(1), 1–20.

Zimmerman, B. J. (2000). Attaining self-regulation: A social cognitive perspective. In M. Boekaerts, P. R. Pintrich, & M. Zeidner (Eds.), *Handbook of self-regulation* (13–39). Academic Press.